


FDA is a front organisation: There are no technicians in the buildings, no equipment and no sample testing occurs

 expose-news.com/2024/06/12/fda-is-a-front-organisation-there-are-no-technicians-in-the-buildings-no-equipment-and-no-sample-testing-occurs

By Rhoda Wilson

June 12, 2024

Katherine Watt has been corresponding with a reader who is researching the history of US public health and regulatory agencies. Records before 1973 are difficult to locate. However, what has become clear is that the origins of these agencies are not what they make them out to be.

Why are they lying about their origins? Because, Watt says, “they have maintained a bunch of empty office buildings that serve only as mailing addresses ... There are no technicians in the buildings, there’s no equipment and no sample testing occurs.”

Let’s not lose touch... Your Government and Big Tech are actively trying to censor the information reported by The **Exposé** to serve their own needs. Subscribe now to make sure you receive the latest uncensored news in your inbox...

Katherine Watt is a mom, Catholic, and paralegal from Pennsylvania, USA. On her Substack page ‘*Bailiwick News*’ she documents how, since at least World War II, US Congress has been waging war on the people by passing legislation which makes it easier and easier for them to be destroyed – legally – by the pharmaceutical industry.

One of Watt’s Substack readers is researching the pre-1972 statutory and regulatory history of some of the USA’s public health agencies, including the National Institutes of Health (“NIH”) and the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”).

The reason why 1972 is relevant is that in that year the regulation of biological products transferred from the NIH Division of Biologics Standards to the FDA Bureau of Biologics. “In 1973, FDA published a consolidated set of biological product manufacturing non-regulations in the Federal Register,” Watt explained.

“Administrative rule-making by FDA since 1973 is relatively easy to locate,” she said. However, “administrative rule-making by NIH prior to 1973 is more difficult to locate.”

Commenting on Watt’s article below, Dr. Mike Yeadon said:

It looks like deception may have been going on a very long time before “covid vaccines” were a thing.

If Katherine Watt is right, there are entire administrative processes that exist only on paper, but there are no staff overseeing the technical aspects implied. Effectively, no practical regulation of vaccines (safety, efficacy and quality) has ever existed.

Nothing would surprise me anymore. After all, as I have said repeatedly, there are in the “covid-19 vaccines” numerous, independent, unnecessary and (to those with relevant expertise) obvious toxicity risks, none of which have been evaluated (because they’re intentional, they’re there by design).

Dr. Mike Yeadon on Telegram , 11 June 2024

Below we have republished excerpts from Watt’s article that are relevant to Dr. Yeadon’s comment above. Watt’s article briefly describes the research her reader has undertaken and Watt’s response to one of her reader’s questions.

Let’s not lose touch...Your Government and Big Tech are actively trying to censor the information reported by The **Exposé** to serve their own needs. Subscribe now to make sure you receive the latest uncensored news in your inbox...

On FDA Buildings as Virtual Mailboxes to Project the Public Illusion of Biological Product Manufacturing Regulation

By Katherine Watt

One of the questions the reader is trying to answer has to do with whether biological regulation authority was ever statutorily established by [the US] Congress, for NIH and its precursor organisations, going back to the late 1800s.

Modern-day NIH and FDA officials present historical accounts of how the biological product and vaccine manufacturing regulatory systems began and developed.

But from her research so far, the reader has concluded that their origin-story claims are not supported by the text of the statutes they cite.

During an email exchange recently, she raised the question “Why are they lying” about their statutory and/or administrative origins?

I sent her a reply with my hypothesis about why NIH and FDA lie about their origins and evolution.

Watt's Reply

The “why they are lying” question is one that I’ve been mulling for a few months.

My hypothesis is that they have maintained a bunch of empty office buildings that serve only as mailing addresses (virtual mailboxes), without having any actual technical staff, laboratory equipment, or application and sample processing procedures.

They do that so that they can have fake forms for vaccine manufacturers to fill out. These included both the establishment license application, ELA, and product license application, PLA, from 1973 to the mid-1990s.

The ELA + PLA application process became, in the mid-1990s, the biologics license application, or BLA, by eliminating even the ostensible/fake requirement for establishment inspections and licensing, and by breaking up the “responsible head” at the factories, into multiple responsible people, so that no one would be responsible.

The factory employees, who are also just a handful of paper pushers with no scientific knowledge or responsibility, in a building whose equipment just makes immunotoxic junk and puts it in vials and slaps labels on it, filled out the application forms and mailed them to the FDA addresses (Bureau of Biologics in 1973, all its NIH predecessors and FDA successors, Centre for Biologics Evaluation and Research – CBER now).

The application forms arrived at that address where another one or two paper pushers put them in a filing cabinet and then shredded them a few years later.

Since the advent of electronic filing systems, the application and licensing forms have been filed, transferred and stored electronically, and deleted at regular intervals.

There are no technicians in the buildings, there’s no equipment and no sample testing occurs.

It’s all a front: statutes, regulations, procedures, application forms, buildings, addresses, offices, labs, approved applications and licenses sent by the FDA back to the factories, everything.

A handful of people at pharma companies know it.

A handful of people at the FDA know it.

And everyone else just assumes that a different, specialised department with specialised staff, equipment and procedures is handling it somewhere in the factory, and somewhere within the FDA.

You can read Watt's full article [HERE](#) which is the ninth in a series of articles on "FDA non-regulation of non-medicines, including vaccines, more accurately understood as intentionally immunotoxic poisons."



The Expose Urgently Needs Your Help...



Can you please help power The Expose's honest, reliable, powerful journalism for the years to come...

Your Government & Big Tech organisations such as Google, Facebook, Twitter & PayPal are trying to silence & shut down The Expose. So we need your help to ensure we can continue to bring you the facts the mainstream refuse to... We're not funded by the Government to publish lies & propaganda on their behalf like the mainstream media.

Instead, we rely solely on our support. So please support us in our efforts to bring you honest, reliable, investigative journalism today. It's secure, quick and easy...

Just choose your preferred method to show your support below support

**ONE TIME
DONATION**

**MONTHLY
SUBSCRIPTION**

**DIRECT BANK
TRANSFER DONATION**

**BUY US
A COFFEE**

**DONATE
CRYPTO**

**FOLLOW US ON
GETTR**

Follow us on gab

Politicians, Prozac, the EU and other passing observations

Dr. Christopher Exley: Merck must be brought to justice for peddling harmful HPV vaccines

Australia's culling of 500,000 chickens is for a manufactured bird flu crisis

Qatari researchers find SARS-CoV-2 accelerates biological ageing

 Follow Daily Expose on Telegram